



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/830,897	05/02/2001	Poopathy Kathirgamanathan	A34258-PCTUS	5347

7590 09/26/2002

Marta E Delsignore
Baker Botts
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, NY 10112-0228

EXAMINER

THOMPSON, CAMIE S

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

8

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/830,897

Applicant(s)

KATHIRGAMANATHAN,
POOPATHY

Examiner

Camie S Thompson

Art Unit

1774

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6-9,11-14,16-21 and 23-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,6-9,11-14,16-21 and 23-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). ____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 and 7. 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is unclear in the specification as to whether or not the electron injecting material is between the cathode and the electroluminescent material on page 5 or the electron injecting material is between the anode and the electroluminescent material on page 6.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter that the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1, 6, 8-9, 11-14, 16-21 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because it is missing the formulas for which the group is selected from for the ligand.

Claim 23 is indefinite because it refers to the anode; however, claim 23 is dependent on claim 8 that refers to the cathode. It is unclear as to whether the applicant intends for the low work metal to be the anode or the cathode. Additionally, it is unclear in the specification and cannot be interpreted in the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dye et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,834,053.

Dye discloses an organic, blue emitting material comprised of a tris-tetramethyl heptandionate ligand and a metal such as cerium, europium or terbium as per instant claims 1 and 6 (see abstract and column 3, lines 1-58). The reference discloses that europium and terbium can be used for other color emissions (see column 3, lines 8-9). The reference meets all the requirements set forth in claims 1 and 6 of the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

7. Claims 1, 8-9, 11-14, 16-21 and 23-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hu et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,923,363 in view of Moss, III et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,025,677.

Art Unit: 1774

Hu discloses an electroluminescent device that is comprised of a supporting transparent substrate a vacuum deposited organic hole-transporting layer, an organic light emitting layer, an electron-transporting layer and a cathode wherein the light-emitting metal chelate layer is vacuum deposited onto the hole-transporting layer as per instant claims 8, 11 and 16 (see column 3, lines 13-23). The Hu reference also discloses that the transparent substrate comprises a conductive glass that acts as the anode as per instant claim 9 (see column 3, lines 13-15). Additionally, the reference also discloses that the organic hole-transporting layer is comprised of an aromatic tertiary amine such as N,N'-diphenyl-N,N'-bis(3-methylphenyl)-1,1'-biphenyl-4,4' diamine as per instant claims 13-14 and 26-27 (see column 3, line 17 and column 7, line 56-column 8, line 4). The embodiment of an light-emitting layer comprising a metal chelate composition, a fluorescent dye and a hole-electron recombination material (electron injection material) is provided by Hu in column 3, line 65-column 4, line 15 as per instant claims 17, 20-21 and 25. Hu discloses that the electron injecting material is comprised of 1,3,4-oxadiazole chelate compound as per instant claim 18 (see column 3, lines 6-11).

The reference does not disclose the metal chelate compound as described in instant claim 1.

Moss, III teaches an electroluminescent device including a glass substrate wherein an organic complex of a metal and an organic ligand is deposited onto the substrate as per instant claims 1 and 8 (see column 4, lines 9-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the organic light-emitting complex of Moss, III in the electroluminescent device of Hu in order to obtain blue light emission (see Moss, III reference: column 2, line 66-column 3, line 5).

Neither reference discloses ratio amount of hole-transporting material to electroluminescent material. However, the amounts of the hole-transporting material and the electroluminescent

Art Unit: 1774

material is optimizable. Discovery of optimum values of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art in re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the hole-transporting material mixed with the electroluminescent material in a ration of 5-95% of electroluminescent material to 95 to 5% of the hole-transporting compound as this is an optimizable feature. The amount of the hole-transporting material and the electroluminescent material each control the emission and luminescence of the device.

8. Claims 1, 8 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawamura et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,074,734 in view of Moss, III et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,025,677.

Kawamura discloses an organic electroluminescence device that comprises a substrate layer that acts as the anode, a light-emitting layer that comprises an electroluminescent compound and a cathode as per instant claim 8 (see column 1, lines 27-68). The reference also discloses that the layer of the light-emitting zone may have a laminate structure having two or more layers as per instant claim 24 (see column 38, line 66-column 39, line 1).

The reference does not disclose the light-emitting compound as described in instant claim 1. Moss, III teaches an electroluminescent device including a glass substrate wherein an organic complex of a metal and an organic ligand is deposited onto the substrate as per instant claims 1 and 8 (see column 4, lines 9-51). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the organic light-emitting complex of Moss, III in the electroluminescent device of Hu in order to obtain blue light emission (see Moss, III reference: column 2, line 66-column 3, line 5).

Art Unit: 1774

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Camie S. Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4488. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly, can be reached at (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the Group are (703) 872-9310 {before finals} and (703) 872-9311 {after finals}.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Cynthia H. Kelly". The signature is written in black ink and is positioned below the printed name and title.